arXiv:1504.05093v1 [math.CA] 20 Apr 2015

Approximation by (p, q)-Lorentz polynomials on a compact disk

M. Mursaleen, **Faisal Khan**, **Asif Khan**

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new analogue of Lorentz polynomials based on (p, q)-integers and we call it as (p, q)-Lorentz polynomials. We obtain quantitative estimate in the Voronovskaja's type thoerem and exact orders in simultaneous approximation by the complex (p, q)-Lorentz polynomials of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $q > p > 1$ attached to analytic functions in compact disks of the complex plane.

AMS Subject Classifications (2010): 41A10, 41A25, 41A36.

Keywords and phrases: (p, q)-integer; Complex (p, q)-Lorentz polynomials; Voronovskaja's theorem; iterates; compact disk.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

In 1986, G. G. Lorentz [7], introduced the following sequence of operators defined for any analytic function f in a domain containing the origin

$$L_n(f; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right) \left(\frac{z}{n}\right)^k f^{(k)}(0), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.1}$$

In last two decades, the applications of q-calculus emerged as a new area in the field of approximation theory.

In [4], Gal introduced and studied the q-analouge of the Lorentz operators for $q > 1$, for any analytic function f in a domain containing the origin as follows:

$$L_{n,q}(f; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right]_q \left(\frac{z}{[n]_q}\right)^k D_q^{(k)}(f)(0), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \ z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{1.2}$$

Details on the q-calculus can be found in [1, 15]

Several authors have introduced and studied the approximation properties for different operators in compact disk. For instance, in [8, 9, 10] Mahmudov studied q-Stancu polynomials, q-Szasz Mirakjan operators and generalised Kantorovich operators; In [2] Gal et al studied q-szasz-Kantorovich operators.

Recently, Mursaleen et al applied (p, q)-calculus in approximation theory and introduced first (p, q)-analogue of Bernstein operators [11]. Similarly they introduced and studied approximation properties for (p, q)-Bernstein-Stancu operators [12] and (p, q)-Bernstein-Kantorovich operators [13].

Let us recall certain notations of (p,q)-calculus.

The (p,q) integer $[n]_{p,q}$ is defined by

$$[n]_{p,q} := \frac{p^n - q^n}{p-q}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \quad p > q \geq 1.$$

The (p,q)-binomial expansion is given as

$$(ax+by)^n_{p,q} := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right]_{p,q} a^{n-k} b^k x^{n-k} y^k$$

$$(x+y)^n_{p,q} := (x+y)(px+qy)(p^2x+q^2y)\cdots(p^{n-1}x+q^{n-1}y),$$

and the (p,q)-binomial coefficients are defined by

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right]_{p,q} := \frac{[n]_{p,q}!}{[k]_{p,q}![n-k]_{p,q}!}.$$

The (p,q)-derivative of the function f is defined as

$$D_{p,q}f(x) = \frac{f(px) - f(qx)}{(p-q)x}, \quad x \neq 0,$$

and $(D_{p,q})f(0) = f'(0)$, provided that f is differentiable at 0. It can be easily seen that $D_{p,q}x^n = [n]_{p,q}x^{n-1}$.

Details on (p,q)-calculus can be found in [5, 6, 14, 16, 17].

Our results generalize the results of Gal [4]

2 Construction of Operators

Now, with the help of (p,q)-calculus and using above formula, we present (p,q)-analogue of Lorentz operators (1.1) as follows:

$$L_{n,p,q}(f;z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right]_{p,q} \left(\frac{z}{[n]_{p,q}} \right)^k D^{(k)}_{p,q}(f)(0), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \ z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{2.1}$$

Note that for $p = 1$, (p,q)-Lorentz operators given by (2.1) turn out to be q-analogue of Lorentz operators (1.2).

Firstly, we obtain an upper approximation estimate.

Theorem 2.1 Let $R > q > p > 1$ and $D_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$. Suppose that $f : D_R \to \mathbb{C}$ is analytic in D_R, i.e., $f(z) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$, for all $z \in D_R$.

(i) Let $1 \le r < \frac{pr_1}{q} < \frac{pR}{q}$ be arbitrary fixed. For all $|z| \le r$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have the upper estimate as

$$|L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f(z)| \le \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\ M_{r_1,p,q}(f),$$

where $M_{r_1,p,q}(f) = \frac{p(q-p+1)}{(q-p)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k|(k+1)r_1^k < \infty$.

(ii) Let $1 \le r << r^* < \frac{pr_1}{q} < \frac{pR}{q}$ be arbitrary fixed. For the simultaneous approximation by complex Lorentz polynomials, for all $|z| \le r, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\left|L^{(m)}_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f^{(m)}(z)\right| \le \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\ M_{r_1,p,q}(f) \frac{m!\ r^*}{(r^* - r)^{m+1}},$$

where $M_{r_1,p,q}(f)$ is given as at the above point (i).

Proof. (i) For $e_j(z) = z^j$, it is to see that $L_{n,p,q}(e_0)(z) = 1,\ L_{n,p,q}(e_1)(z) = z$. Then we have

$$L_{n,p,q}(e_j)(z) = q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{p,q} [j]_{p,q}!\ \frac{z^j}{[n]^j_{p,q}}, \quad 2 \le j \le n, \text{ for all } j, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

by some simple calculation, we get

$$L_{n,p,q}(e_j)(z) = z^j \ \left(1 - p^{n-1}\frac{[1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}\right)\left(1 - p^{n-2}\frac{[2]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}\right) \dots \left(1 - p^{n-(j-1)}\frac{[j-1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}\right).$$

It is easy to see that for $j \ge n+1$, we get $L_{n,p,q}(e_j)(z) = 0$.
Now it can be easily seen that

$$L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j L_{n,p,q}(e_j)(z) \ \text{ for all } \ |z| \le r.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f(z)\right| \\
&\quad \le \sum_{j=0}^{n} |c_j|\left|L_{n,p,q}(e_j)(z) - e_j(z)\right| + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |c_j|\left|L_{n,p,q}(e_j)(z) - e_j(z)\right| \\
&\quad \le \sum_{j=2}^{n} |c_j|\ r^j\ \frac{1}{p^{jn}}\ \left|\left(1 - p^{n-1}\frac{[1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}\right)\left(1 - p^{n-2}\frac{[2]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}\right) \dots \left(1 - p^{n-(j-1)}\frac{[j-1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}\right) - 1\right| \\
&\qquad + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |c_j| r^j, \quad \text{for all } \ |z| \le r.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the analyticity of f implies $c_j = \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{j!}$, and by the Cauchy's estimates of the coefficients c_j in the disk $|z| \le r_1$, we have $|c_j| \le \frac{K_{r_1}}{r-1}$, for all $j \ge 0$, where

$$K_{r_1} = \max\{|f(x)| : |z| \le r_1\} \le \sum_{j=2}^{n} |c_j| r^j \le \sum_{j=2}^{n} |c_j|\ (j+1)\ r_1^j := R_{r_1}(f) < \infty.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |c_j| r^j \le R_{r_1}(f) \left[\frac{r}{r_1}\right]^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^j = R_{r_1}(f) \left[\frac{r}{r_1}\right]^{n+1} . \frac{r_1}{r_1 - r}$$

$$= R_{r_1}(f) \frac{r}{r_1 - r} \left[\frac{r}{r_1}\right]^{n} \le R_{r_1}(f) \frac{p^{n+1}}{[n]_{p,q}} \frac{1}{(q-p)^2},$$

and finally we get

$$\left| L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f(z) \right| \le \frac{p^{n+1}}{[n]_{p,q}} \frac{(q-p+1)}{(q-p)^2} R_{r_1}(f)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|z| \le r$.

(ii) Let γ be the circle of radius $r^* > r$ and center 0, since for any $|z| \le r$ and $\upsilon \in \gamma$, we have $|\upsilon - z| \ge r^* - r$. By Cauchy's formula it follows that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| L^{(m)}_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f^{(m)}(z) \right| &= \frac{m!}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\gamma} \frac{L_{n,p,q}(f)(\upsilon) - f(\upsilon)}{(\upsilon - z)^{m+1}} d\upsilon \right| \\ &\le \frac{p^{n+1}}{[n]_{p,q}} \; M_{r_1,p,q}(f) \; \frac{m!}{2\pi} \; \frac{2\pi r^*}{(r^* - r)^{m+1}} \\ &= \frac{p^{n+1}}{[n]_{p,q}} \; M_{r_1,p,q}(f) \; \frac{m! \; r^*}{(r^* - r)^{m+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following quantitative Voronovskaja-type results.

Theorem 2.2 For $R > q^4 > p^4 > 1$, let $f : D_R \to \mathbb{C}$ be analytic in D_R, i.e., $f(z) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$, for all $z \in D_R$ and let $1 \le r < \frac{p^3 r_1}{q^3} < \frac{p^4 R}{q^4}$ be arbitrary fixed. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|z| \le r$, we have

$$\left| L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f(z) + \frac{S_{p,q}(f)(z)}{[n]_{p,q}} \right| \le \frac{p^{2n}}{[n]^2_{p,q}} \; Q_{r_1,p,q}(f),$$

where

$$S_{p,q}(f)(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} p^{n-(k-1)} c_k \frac{[k]_{p,q} - [k]_q}{q-1} z^k = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} p^{n-(k-1)} c_k \big([1]_{p,q} + ... + [k-1]_{p,q}\big)$$

and $Q_{r_1,p,q} = \frac{pq-q+p}{(p-1)(q-p)} \; \sum |c_k|(k+1)(k+2)^2 \left(\frac{q}{p} r_1\right)^k < \infty$.

Proof. We have

$$\left| L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f(z) + \frac{S_{p,q}(f)(z)}{[n]_{p,q}} \right|$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \left[L_{n,p,q}(e_k)(z) - e_k(z) + p^{n-(k-1)} \ \frac{[k]_{p,q} - [k]_q}{p-1} \ e_k(z) \right] \right| \\
&\leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k \left[L_{n,p,q}(e_k)(z) - e_k(z) + p^{n-(k-1)} \ \frac{[k]_{p,q} - [k]_q}{p-1} \ e_k(z) \right] \right| \\
&\qquad + \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} p^{n-(k-1)} \ c_k \ z^k \left(\frac{[k]_{p,q} - [k]_q}{p-1} - 1 \right) \right| \\
&\leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k \left[L_{n,p,q}(e_k)(z) - e_k(z) + p^{n-(k-1)} \ \frac{[k]_{p,q} - [k]_q}{p-1} \ e_k(z) \right] \right| \\
&\qquad + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |c_k| \ r^k \left(p^{n-(k-1)} \ \frac{[k]_{p,q} - [k]_q}{p-1} - 1 \right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $|z| \leq r$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
In what follows, firstly we will prove by mathematical induction with respect to k that

$$
0 \leq E_{n,k,p,q}(z) \leq \frac{p^{2n}}{[n]_{p,q}^2} \frac{(k+1)(k-2)^2}{(q-p)} \left(\frac{qr_1}{p} \right)^k, \tag{2.2}
$$

for all $2 \leq k \leq n$ (here $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary fixed) and $|z| \leq r$, where

$$
E_{n,k,p,q}(z) = L_{n,p,q}(e_k)(z) - e_k(z) + \frac{p^{n-(k-1)}}{[n]_{p,q}} \ \frac{[k]_{p,q} - [k]_q}{p-1} \ e_k(z)
$$

$$
= L_{n,p,q}(e_k)(z) - e_k(z) + \frac{p^{n-(k-1)}}{[n]_{p,q}} \left([1]_{p,q} + ... + [k-1]_{p,q} \right) \ e_k(z).
$$

By mathematical induction, we easily

$$
\frac{[k]_{p,q} - [k]_q}{p-1} = \left([1]_{p,q} + ... + [k-1]_{p,q} \right).
$$

On the other hand, by the formula for $L_{n,p,q}(e_k)$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (i), simple calculation leads to $E_{n,2,p,q}(z) = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and to the recurrence relation

$$
E_{n,k,p,q}(z) = -\frac{z^2}{[n]_{p,q}} p^{n-(k-1)} \ D_{p,q}[L_{n,p,q}(e_{k-1})(z) - e_{k-1}(z)] + \frac{p-1}{p} z [L_{n,p,q}(e_{k-1})(z) - e_{k-1}(z)]
$$

$$
+ \frac{z}{p} \ E_{n,k-1,p,q}(z), \quad |z| \leq r.
$$

Now, for $|z| \leq r$ and $3 \leq k \leq n$ and applying the mean value theorem in complex analysis, with notation $\|f\|_r = \max\{|f(z)| : |z| \leq r\}$, we get

$$
|E_{n,k,p,q}(z)| = \frac{r^2}{[n]_{p,q}} \ p^{n-(k-1)} \ \| \left(L_{n,p,q}(e_{k-1})(z) - e_{k-1}(z) \right)' \|_{\frac{qr}{p}}
$$

$$|E_{n,k,p,q}(z)| \le \left(\frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}(k+1)\right) \quad \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}(k-2)[k-2]_{p,q}\ r_1^k + \ r_1\,|E_{n,k-1,p,q}(z)|$$

$$\le \frac{p^{2n}}{[n]_{p,q}^2}(k+1)(k-2)[k-2]_{p,q}r_1^k \ + \ r_1\,|E_{n,k-1,p,q}(z)|$$

Now on taking $k = 1, 2, 3, ...$, step by step, we easily obtain the estimate

$$|E_{n,k,p,q}(z)| \le \frac{p^{2n}}{[n]_{p,q}^2} r_1^k \sum_{j=3}^{k}(j-1)(j-2)[j-2]_{p,q}$$

$$\le \frac{p^{2n}}{[n]_{p,q}^2}\frac{(k+1)(k-2)^2}{(q-p)}\left(\frac{qr_1}{p}\right)^k$$

Now we calculate

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} |c_k| \left[L_{n,p,q}(e_k)(z) - e_k(z) + q^{n-(k-1)}\ \tfrac{[k]_{p,q}-[k]_q}{p-1}\ e_k(z)\right]\right|$$

$$\le \sum_{k=0}^{n}\ |c_k|\ \ |E_{n,k,p,q}(z)|$$

$$\le \frac{p^{2n}}{[n]_{p,q}^2}\frac{1}{(q-p)}\sum_{k=0}^{n}|c_k|(k+1)(k-2)^2\left(\frac{qr_1}{p}\right)^k$$

$$\le \frac{p^{2n}}{[n]_{p,q}^2}\frac{1}{(q-p)}\sum_{k=0}^{n}|c_k|(k+1)(k+2)^2\left(\frac{qr_1}{p}\right)^k$$

On the other hand, since $\left(p^{n-(k-1)}\ \frac{[k]_{p,q}-[k]_q}{p-1} - 1\right) \ge 0$ for all $k \ge n+1$, similar to proof of Theorem 2.1 (i), we get

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\ |c_k|\ r^k\left(p^{n-(k-1)}\ \frac{[k]_{p,q}-[k]_q}{p-1} - 1\right) \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} p^{n-(k-1)}\ |c_k|\ r^k\frac{[k]_{p,q}}{(p-1)[n]_{p,q}}$$

$$\le \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} p^{n-(k-1)}\ |c_k|\ \frac{1}{(p-1)[n]_{p,q}}\frac{kq^k}{p^k(q-p)}$$

$$\le \frac{R_{r_1}(f)p^{n+1}}{(p-1)[n]_{p,q}}\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\ \frac{r^k}{r_1^k}\ q^k p^{-k}$$

$$\le \frac{R_{r_1}(f)p^{n+1}}{(p-1)[n]_{p,q}}\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^{1/3}\right]^k\ \left[\left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^{1/3}\right]^{2k} q^k p^{-k}$$

$$\le \frac{R_{r_1}(f)p^{n+1}}{(p-1)[n]_{p,q}}\left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^{\frac{(n+1)}{3}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\ \left[\left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^{1/3}\right]^k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{R_{r_1}(f)p^{n+1}}{(p-1)[n]_{p,q}}\left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^{\frac{n}{3}}\frac{r^{\frac{1}{3}}}{(r_1^{\frac{1}{3}}-r^{\frac{1}{3}})}\\
&\le \frac{p^{2n+2}}{[n]^2_{p,q}}\frac{R_{r_1}(f)}{(p-1)\ (q-p)^2}\\
&\le \frac{p^{2n+2}}{[n]^2_{p,q}\ (p-1)\ (q-p)^2}\ \sum_{k=0}^{n}|c_k|\ (k+1)(k+2)^2\ \left(\frac{q}{p}r_1\right)^k,
\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

where we used the inequalities, $[k]_{p,q} \le \frac{kq^k}{p^k}$, $\frac{p^n}{q^n} \le \frac{p^n}{(q-p)[n]_{p,q}}$ and $\frac{r^{1/3}}{(r_1^{1/3}-r^{1/3})} \le \frac{p}{(q-p)}$. Hence, by combining all above estimates, we have

$$\left|L_{n,p,q}(f)(z)-f(z)+\frac{S_{p,q}(f)(z)}{[n]_{p,q}}\right| \le \frac{(pq-q+p-1)}{(p-1)\ (q-p)^2}\ \frac{p^{2n}}{[n]^2_{p,q}}\ \sum_{k=0}^{n}|c_k|\ (k+1)(k+2)^2\ \left(\frac{q}{p}r_1\right)^k.$$

The following result gives the lower approximation estimate

Theorem 2.3 Let $R > p^4/q^4 > 1,\ f : D_R \to \mathbb{C}$ be analytic in D_R, i.e., $f(z) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$, for all $z \in D_R$ and let $1 \le r < \frac{q^3 r_1}{p^3} < \frac{q^4 R}{p^4}$ be arbitrary fixed. If f is not a polynomial of degree ≤ 1, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|z| \le r$, we have

$$\|L_{n,p,q}(f)-f\|_r \ge \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}C_{r,r_1,p,q}(f),$$

where the constant $C_{r,r_1,p,q}(f)$ depends only on $f,\ r$ and r_1. Here $\|f\|_r$ denotes $\max\limits_{|z|\le r}\{|f(z)|\}$.

Proof. For $S_{n,p,q}(f)(z)$ as defined in Theorem 2.3, all $|z| \le r$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{n,p,q}(f)(z)-f(z)\\
&\quad= \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\left\{-S_{p,q}(f)(z)+\frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\left[\frac{[n]^2_{p,q}}{p^{2n}}\left(L_{n,p,q}(f)(z)-f(z)+\frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}S_{p,q}(f)(z)\right)\right]\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the following inequality

$$\|F+G\|_r \ge \big|\|F\|_r-\|G\|_r\big| \ge \|F\|_r-\|G\|_r.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|L_{n,p,q}(f)-f\|_r\\
&\quad\ge \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\left\{\|S_{p,q}(f)(z)\|-\frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\left[\frac{[n]^2_{p,q}}{p^{2n}}\left\|L_{n,p,q}(f)(z)-f(z)+\frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}S_{p,q}(f)(z)\right\|_r\right]\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since by hypothesis f is not a polynomial of degree ≤ 1 in D_R, we get $\|S_{p,q}(f)\|_r > 0$.

Indeed, supposing the contrary it follows that $S_{p,q}(f)(z) = 0$ for all $z \in \overline{D_R} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \le r\}$.

A simple calculation yields $S_{p,q}(f)(z) = z\ \frac{D_{p,q}(f)(z)-f'(z)}{p-q}$, $S_{p,q}(f)(z) = 0$ implies that $D_{p,q}(f)(z) = f'(z)$, for all $z \in \overline{D_r}\backslash\{0\}$. Taking into account the representation of f as $f(z) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$, the last inequality immediately leads to $c_k = 0$, for all $k \geq 2$, which means that f is linear in $\overline{D_r}$, a contradiction with hypothesis.

Now, by Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\frac{[n]_{p,q}^2}{p^{2n}} \left\| L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f(z) + \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}} S_{p,q}(f)(z) \right\|_r \leq Q_{r_1,p,q}(f),$$

where $Q_{r_1,p,q}(f)$ is a positive constant depending only on $f,\ r_1,\ p$ and q.
Since $\frac{p^n}{[n_{p,q}]} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, there exists an index $n_\circ$ depending only on $f,\ r,\ r_1,\ p$ and q such that for all $n > n_\circ$, we have

$$\|S_{p,q}(f)(z)\| - \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}} \left[\frac{[n]_{p,q}^2}{p^{2n}} \left\| L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f(z) + \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}} S_{p,q}(f)(z) \right\|_r \right] \geq \frac{1}{2} \|S_{p,q}(f)\|_r\,,$$

which immediately implies that

$$\|L_{n,p,q}(f) - f\|_r \geq \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}} \frac{1}{2} \|S_{p,q}(f)(z)\|_r, \quad \text{for all } n > n_\circ.$$

For $n \in \{1, ..., n_\circ\}$, we have $\|L_{n,p,q}(f) - f\|_r \ \geq \ \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\ M_{r,r_1,n,p,q}$ with $M_{r,r_1,n,p,q} = \frac{[n]_{p,q}}{p^n}\ \|L_{n,p,q}(f) - f\|_r > 0$ (if $\|L_{n,p,q}(f) - f\|_r$) would be equal to 0, this would imply that f is a linear function, a contradiction).

Therefore, finally we get $\|L_{n,p,q}(f) - f\|_r \ \geq \ \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\ C_{r,r_1,p,q}(f)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$C_{r,r_1,p,q}(f) = \min\left\{ M_{r,r_1,1,p,q}(f), ..., M_{r,r_1,n_\circ,p,q}(f),\ \frac{1}{2}\|S_{p,q}(f)\| \right\},$$

which completes the proof.

Combining Theorem 2.3, and Theorem 2.1 (i), we immediately get the following result.

Corollary 2.4 Let $R > p^4/q^4 > 1,\ f : D_R \to \mathbb{C}$ be analytic in D_R, i.e., $f(z) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$, for all $z \in D_R$ and let $1 \leq r < \frac{q^3 r_1}{p^3} < \frac{q^4 R}{p^4}$ be arbitrary fixed. If f is not a polynomial of degree ≤ 1, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|z| \leq r$, we have

$$\|L_{n,p,q}(f) - f\|_r \sim \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}},$$

where the constants in the equivalence depend only on $f,\ r,\ r_1.\ p$ and g but are independent of n.

3 Approximation results

Concerning the simultaneous approximation, we prove the following:

Theorem 3.1 Let $R > p^4/q^4 > 1$, $f : D_R \to \mathbb{C}$ be analytic in D_R, i.e., $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$, for all $z \in D_R$ and let $1 \leq r < r^* < \frac{p^3 r_1}{q^3} < \frac{p^4 R}{q^4}$ be arbitrary fixed. Also let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If f is not a polynomial of degree $\leq \max\{1, m-1\}$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\|L^{(m)}_{n,p,q}(f) - f^{(m)}\|_r \sim \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}},$$

where the constants in the equivalence depend only on $f,\ r,\ r_1.\ m,\ p$ and q but are independent of n.

Proof. We already have the upper estimate for $\|L^{(m)}_{n,p,q}(f) - f^{(m)}\|_r$, by Theorem 2.1 (ii), so it remains to find the lower estimate for $\|L^{(m)}_{n,p,q}(f) - f^{(m)}\|_r$,

Let us denote by Γ the circle of the radius r^* and center 0. We have that the inequality $|\upsilon - z| \geq r^* - r$ holds for all $|z| \leq r$ and $\upsilon \in \Gamma$. Cauchy's formula is expressed by

$$\left|L^{(m)}_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f^{(m)}(z)\right| = \frac{m!}{2\pi}\left|\int_\gamma \frac{L_{n,p,q}(f)(\upsilon) - f(\upsilon)}{(\upsilon - z)^{m+1}} d\upsilon\right| \tag{3.1}$$

Now, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (ii), for all $\upsilon \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have
$L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f(z)$

$$= \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\left\{-S_{p,q}(f)(z) + \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\left[\frac{[n]^2_{p,q}}{p^{2n}}\left(L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f(z) + \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}} S_{p,q}(f)(z)\right)\right]\right\} \tag{3.2}$$

By (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$L^{(m)}_{n,p,q}(f) - f^{(m)}(f) = \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\left\{\ \frac{m!}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma -\ \frac{S_{p,q}(f)(z)}{(\upsilon - z)^{m+1}} d\upsilon\right.$$

$$\left. +\ \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}.\frac{m!}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{[n]^2_{p,q}\left(L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f(z) + p^n \frac{S_{p,q}(f)(z)}{[n]_{p,q}}\right)}{p^{2n}(\upsilon - z)^{m+1}} d\upsilon\right\}$$

$$= \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\left\{[-S_{p,q}(f)(z)]^{(m)} + \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}.\frac{m!}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{[n]^2_{p,q}\left(L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f(z) + p^n \frac{S_{p,q}(f)(z)}{[n]_{p,q}}\right)}{p^{2n}(\upsilon - z)^{m+1}} d\upsilon\right\}.$$

Hence

$$\|L^{(m)}_{n,p,q} - f^{(m)}\|_r \geq \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\left\{\ \left\|-\left[S_{p,q}(f)\right]^{(m)}\right\|_r\right.$$

$$\left. -\ \frac{p^n}{[n]_{p,q}}\left\|\frac{m!}{2\pi}\int_\Gamma \frac{[n]^2_{p,q}\left(L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f(z) + p^n \frac{S_{p,q}(f)(z)}{[n]_{p,q}}\right)}{p^{2n}(\upsilon - z)^{m+1}} d\upsilon\right\|_r\right\}.$$

Now by using Theorem 2.2 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\left\| \frac{m!}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{[n]_{p,q}^2 \left(L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) - f(z) + p^n \frac{S_{p,q}(f)(z)}{[n]_{p,q}} \right)}{p^{2n}(\upsilon - z)^{m+1}} d\upsilon \right\|_r$$

$$\leq \frac{m!}{2\pi} \frac{2\pi r^* [n]_{p,q}^2}{(r^* - r)^{m+1} p^{2n}} \left\| L_{n,p,q}(f) - f + p^n \frac{S_{p,q}(f)}{[n]_{p,q}} \right\|_{r^*}$$

$$\leq Q_{r_1,p,q}(f) . \frac{m! \ r^*}{(r^* - r)^{m+1}}.$$

But by hypothesis on f, we have $\left\| - \left[S_{p,q}(f) \right]^{(m)} \right\|_{r^*} > 0$. Indeed, supposing the contrary, it would follow that $\left[S_{p,q}(f) \right]^{(m)}(z) = 0$, for all $|z| \leq r^*$, where by the statement of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$S_{p,q}(f)(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} q^{n-(k-1)} c_k \frac{[k]_{p,q} - [k]_q}{q-1} z^k = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} q^{n-(k-1)} c_k \big([1]_{p,q} + ... + [k-1]_{p,q} \big) z^k.$$

Firstly, supposing that $m = 1$, by $S'_{p,q}(f)(z) = \sum\limits_{k=2}^{\infty} q^{n-(k-1)} c_k \ k \ \big([1]_{p,q} + ... + [k-1]_{p,q} \big) z^{k-1} = 0$, for all $|z| \leq r^*$, would follow that $c_k = 0$, for all $k \geq 2$, that is, f would be a polynomial of degree $1 = \max\{1, m-1\}$, a contradiction with the hypothesis.

Taking $m = 2$, we would get $S''_{p,q}(f)(z) = \sum\limits_{k=2}^{\infty} q^{n-(k-1)} \ c_k \ k \ (k-1) \ \big([1]_{p,q} + ... + [k-1]_{p,q} \big) z^{k-2} = 0$, for all $|z| \leq r^*$, which immediately would imply that $c_k = 0$, for all $k \geq 2$, that is, f would be a polynomial of degree $1 = \max\{1, m-1\}$, a contradiction with the hypothesis.

Now, taking $m > 2$, for all $|z| \leq r^*$, we would get

$$S_{p,q}^{(m)}(f)(z) = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} q^{n-(k-1)} \ c_k \ k \ (k-1)...(k-m+1) \ \big([1]_{p,q} + ... + [k-1]_{p,q} \big) z^{k-m} = 0,$$

which would imply that $c_k = 0$, for all $k \geq m$, that is, f would be a polynomial of degree $m - 1 = \max\{1, m-1\}$, a contradiction with the hypothesis.

Finally, we prove some approximation results for the iterates of (p, q)-Lorentz operators.

For f analytic in D_R that is of the form $f(z) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$, for all $z \in D_R$, let us define the iterates of complex Lorentz operators $L_{n,p,q}(f)(z)$, by $L_{n,p,q}^{(1)}(f)(z) = L_{n,p,q}(f)(z)$ and $L_{n,p,q}^{(m)}(f)(z) = L_{n,p,q}[L_{n,p,q}^{(m-1)}(f)](z)$, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \geq 2$.

Since we have $L_{n,p,q}(f)(z) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k L_{n,p,q}(e_k)(z)$, by recurrence for all $m \geq 1$, we get that $L_{n,p,q}^{(m)}(f)(z) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k L_{n,p,q}^{(m)}(e_k)(z)$, where $L_{n,p,q}^{(m)}(e_k)(z) = 1, \ \text{if } k = 0, \ L_{n,p,q}^{(m)}(e_k)(z) = z$ if $k = 1, \ L_{n,p,q}^{(m)}(e_k)(z) = 0, \ \text{if} \ \ k \geq n+1$ and

$$L_{n,p,q}^{(m)}(e_j)(z) = \left(1 - p^{n-1} \frac{[1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}} \right)^m \left(1 - p^{n-2} \frac{[2]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}} \right)^m ... \left(1 - p^{n-(j-1)} \frac{[j-1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}} \right)^m \ z^k,$$

for $2 \le k \le n$.

We present the following:

Theorem 3.2 Let $R > p > q > 1$ and $1 \le r < \frac{pr_1}{q} < \frac{pR}{q}$ be arbitrary fixed. Denoting $D_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$. Suppose that $f : D_R \to \mathbb{C}$ is analytic in D_R, i.e., $f(z) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$, for all $z \in D_R$, we have the upper estimate

$$\left\| L_{n,p,q}^{(m)}(f) - f \right\|_r \le \frac{mp^n}{[n]_{p,q}} \frac{q-p+1}{(q-p)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k|(k+1) r_1^k.$$

Moreover, if $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \frac{m_n p^n}{[n]_{p,q}} = 0$, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| L_{n,p,q}^{(m_n)}(f) - f \right\|_r = 0.$$

Proof. For all $|z| \le r$, we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| f(z) - L_{n,p,q}^{(m)}(f)(z) \right| \\ &\le \sum_{k=2}^{n} |c_k| r^k \left[1 - \left(1 - p^{n-1} \frac{[1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}\right)^m \dots \left(1 - p^{n-(j-1)} \frac{[j-1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}\right)^m \right] + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |c_k| r^k. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting $A_{k,n} = \left(1 - p^{n-1} \frac{[1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}\right) \dots \left(1 - p^{n-(j-1)} \frac{[k-1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}\right)$, we get $1 - A_{k,n}^m = (1 - A_{k,n})(1 + A_{k,n} + A_{k,n}^2 + \dots + A_{k,n}^{m-1}) \le m(1 - A_{k,n})$ and therefore since $1 - A_{k,n} \le p^{n-(k-1)} \frac{(k-1)[k-1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}$, for all $|z| \le r$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=2}^{n} |c_k| r^k \left[1 - \left(1 - p^{n-1} \frac{[1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}\right)^m \dots \left(1 - p^{n-(j-1)} \frac{[j-1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}\right)^m \right] + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |c_k| r^k. \\ &\qquad \le m \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |c_k| r^k p^{1-(k-1)} \ [1 - A_{k,n}] \le \frac{mp^{n+1}}{[n]_{p,q}} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |c_k| r^k (k-1)[k-1]_{p,q} r^k \\ &\qquad \le \frac{mp^{n+1}}{[n]_{p,q}} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |c_k| r^k \frac{kq^k/p^k}{q-p} \le \frac{mp^{n+1}}{[n]_{p,q}} \frac{1}{q-p} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |c_k|(k+1)(\frac{q}{p} r)^k \\ &\qquad \le \frac{mp^{n+1}}{[n]_{p,q}} \frac{1}{q-p} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |c_k|(k+1)(r_1)^k. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, following exactly the reasonings in the proof of the Theorem 2.1, we get the estimate

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |c_k| r^k \le \frac{p^{n+1}}{[n]_{p,q}} \frac{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k|(k+1)(r_1)^k}{(q-p)^2} \le \frac{mp^{n+1}}{[n]_{p,q}} . \frac{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k|(k+1)(r_1)^k}{(q-p)^2}.$$

Collecting now all the estimates and taking into account that $\frac{1}{q-p} + \frac{}{(q-p)^2} = \frac{q-p+1}{(q-p)^2}$, we arrive at the desired estimate.

Since $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \frac{m_n p^n}{[n]_{p,q}} = 0$, it follows the conclusion that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| L_{n,p,q}^{(m_n)}(f) - f \right\|_r = 0.$$

References

[1] A. Aral, V. Gupta and R. P. Agarwal, Applications of q-Calculus in Operator Theory, Springer Science+Business Media New York, 2013.

[2] S.G. Gal, N.I Mahmudov, M. Kara, Approximation by complex q-Sz‘asz-Kantorovich operators in compact disks. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, DOI: 10.1007/s11785-012-0257-3

[3] S. G. Gal, Overconvergence in Complex Approximtion, Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013.

[4] S. G. Gal, Approximtion by complex q-Lorentz polynomials, $q > 1$, Mathematica (Cluj) 54(77)(1), 53-63 (2012).

[5] Jacob Katriel, Maurice Kibler, Normal ordering for deformed boson operators and operator-valued deformed Stirling numbers, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 25 (1992) 2683-2691. Printed in the UK.

[6] R. Jagannathan, K. Srinivasa Rao, Two-parameter quantum algebras, twin-basic numbers, and associated generalized hypergeometric series, Proceedings of the International Conference on Number Theory and Mathematical Physics, 20-21 2006.

[7] G. G. Lorentz, Bernstein Polynomials, 2nd edn. Chelsea Publication, New York (1986).

[8] Mahmudov, N.I.: Convergence properties and iterations for q-Stancu polynomials in compact disks. Comput. Math. Appl. 59(12), 37633769 (2010)

[9] Mahmudov, N.I.: Approximation properties of complex q-Sz asz-Mirakjan operators in compact disks. Comput. Math. Appl. 60, 17841791 (2010)

[10] Mahmudov, N.I., Kara, M.: Approximation theorems for generalized complex Kantorovich-type operators. J. Appl. Math. 2012, Article ID 454579, 14 pages (2012). Doi:10.1155/2012/454579

[11] M. Mursaleen, K. J. Ansari, A. Khan, On (p,q)-analogue of Bernstein Operators, arXiv:1503.07404 [math.CA]

[12] M. Mursaleen, K. J. Ansari, A. Khan, Some Approximation Results by (p,q)-analogue of Bernstein-Stancu Operators,(accepted) Appl. Math. Comput., 10.1016/j.amc.2015.03.135.

[13] M. Mursaleen, K. J. Ansari, A. Khan, Approximation by a (p,q)-analogue of Bernstein-Kantorovich Operators (submitted).

[14] Mahouton Norbert Hounkonnou, Joseph Désiré Bukweli Kyemba, $\mathcal{R}(p,q)$-calculus: differentiation and integration, SUT Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 49, No. 2 (2013), 145-167.

[15] K. Victor, C. Pokman, Quantum Calculus, *Springer-Verlag* (2002), New York Berlin Heidelberg.

[16] P. N. SadjangOn the fundamental theorem of (p,q)-calculus and some (p,q)-Taylor formulas, arXiv:1309.3934 [math.QA]

[17] Vivek Sahai, Sarasvati Yadav, Representations of two parameter quantum algebras and p,q-special functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 335 (2007) 268279.